



IFW

**PATENT APPLICATION**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Hajime INADA

Group Art Unit: 2625

Application No.: 10/809,406

Examiner: J. THOMPSON

Filed: March 26, 2004

Docket No.: 119285

For: DATA PROCESSING DEVICE

**APPLICANT'S SEPARATE RECORD OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant appreciates the courtesies shown to Applicant's representative by Examiner Thompson at the March 13, 2009 telephone interview. Applicant's Separate Record of the substance of the telephone interview is incorporated into the following remarks.

During the telephone interview, Applicant's representative traversed the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejection of claims 1, 2-9 13 and 14 over Murata (U.S. Patent No. 6,111,659). Applicant's representative's arguments paralleled the arguments presented in the March 4, 2009 Amendment filed with RCE. For example, Applicant's representative argued that Murata does not teach "a function implementing unit; and an interface that is structured to be connected to both an external personal computer and the function implementing unit, the function implementing unit accessing to the storing unit to read and write various types of data via the interface," as recited in independent claim 1 (emphasis added).

Therefore, for at least these reasons, independent claim 1 is patentable over Murata. Claims 3-9, 13 and 14, which depend from claim 1, are also patentable for at least their

dependency on independent claim 1, as well as for the additional features they recite.

Applicant thus respectfully requests withdraw of the rejection.

Claims 2, 10, 12 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Murata in view of Yoneta et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,359,699). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 2, 10 12 and 15-18 which depend from independent claim 1, are patentable for at least their dependency on independent claim 1, as well as for the additional features they recite. Applicant thus respectfully requests withdraw of the rejection.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Patrick T. Muffo  
Registration No. 60,342

JAO:PTM/sld

Date: March 17, 2009

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 320850**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461
--